



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A BINOMIAL THEOREM, EXPRESSED IN FORM OF A FACTORIAL, WHICH IS ALWAYS CONVERGENT.*

By CHAS. H. KUMMELL, Washington, D. C.

The binomial series :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+x)^n &= 1 + \frac{n}{1}x + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}x^2 + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-2}{3}x^3 + \dots \\
 &\quad + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} \dots \frac{n-m+1}{m}x^m + \dots \\
 &= 1 + \frac{n}{1}x \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{2}x \left(1 + \frac{n-2}{3}x \left(1 + \dots \right.\right.\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is true for any value of x only if n is a positive integer. In all other cases we must have

$$1 > x > -1.$$

About six years ago Dr. Martin communicated to the Mathematical Section of the Philosophical Society a remarkable series for $\sqrt{a^2+b}$, which had been discovered by C. A. Roberts, as follows :

$$\sqrt{a^2+b} = a + \frac{b}{2a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_3} \left(1 + \dots \right.\right.\right) \right) \tag{2}$$

where

$$q_1 = \frac{4a^2}{b} + 2; \quad q_2 = q_1^2 - 2; \quad q_3 = q_2^2 - 2 \dots \tag{3}$$

Since the q 's increase to infinity, it is evident that this series converges for any value of a and b as I proved in my article "On the method of continued identity," *Annals of Mathematics*, Vol. 5, page 3, page 92. (This was an attempt to apply this form to the development of any function, which, however, was not practically successful except in yielding a remarkably convenient method of solving higher numerical equations.)

After that I published in the *Mathematical Magazine* "A new and expeditious method of computing the square root," which already contained the essential features of the general method though somewhat hidden. It is as follows :

We have identically

$$\sqrt{a^2+b} = \frac{b}{2a} \sqrt{\frac{4a^2}{b^2}(a^2+b)} = \frac{b}{2a} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}q_1^2-1} \text{ if } q_1 = \frac{4a^2}{b} + 2$$

* Read before the Philosophical Society, Washington, D. C.

similarly

$$\sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_1^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{q_1} \sqrt[4]{q_1^2 (\frac{1}{4} q_1^2 - 1)} = \frac{1}{q_1} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_2^2 - 1} \text{ if } q_2 = q_1^2 - 2$$

$$\sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_2^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{q_2} \sqrt[4]{q_2^2 (\frac{1}{4} q_2^2 - 1)} = \frac{1}{q_2} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_3^2 - 1} \text{ if } q_3 = q_2^2 - 2.$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{a^2 + b} &= \frac{b}{2a} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_1^2 - 1} = \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{q_1}{2} \text{ approx.} \\ &= \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_2^2 - 1} = \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \cdot \frac{q_2}{2} \text{ approx.} \\ &= \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_2} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} q_3^2 - 1} = \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_2} \cdot \frac{q_3}{2} \text{ approx.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

etc.

These approximate values, since they are expressed by the identical auxiliaries q as in Robert's series, are likewise convergent for any a and b . They are indeed the sums of the terms of that series since

$$\begin{aligned} a + \frac{b}{2a} &= \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{q_1}{2} \\ a + \frac{b}{2a} \left[1 - \frac{1}{q_1} \right] &= \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \cdot \frac{q_2}{2} \\ a + \frac{b}{2a} \left[1 - \frac{1}{q_1} \left[1 + \frac{1}{q_2} \right] \right] &= \frac{b}{2a} \cdot \frac{1}{q_1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_2} \cdot \frac{q_3}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

etc.

The generalization of this method for the n th root did not occur to me because then the successive remainders could not be $= 1$, and I considered this erroneously essential. The following, however, is the correct mode of generalization :

We have

$$(a^n \pm b)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} [(na^{n-1})^n (a^n \pm b)]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} (a_1^n - b_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (6_1)$$

if

$$a_1 = na^n \pm b; \quad b_1 = a_1^n - (na^{n-1})^n (a^n \pm b) \quad (7_1)$$

$$(a_1^n - b_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na_1^{n-1}} [(na_1^{n-1})^n (a_1^n - b_1)]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na_1^{n-1}} (a_2^n - b_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (6_2)$$

if

$$a_2 = na_1^n - b_1; \quad b_2 = a_2^n - (na_1^{n-1})^n (a_1^n - b_1), \quad (7_2)$$

etc., and we have

$$(a^n \pm b)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{na_1^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{na_2^{n-1}} \cdots \frac{1}{na_{m-1}^{n-1}} (a_m^n - b_m)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (6)$$

Now whatever the ratio between a^n and b , even if $b > a^n$ it follows from (7)

$$a_1^n > b_1; a_2^n > b_2, \text{ etc.,}$$

and the binomial series can be applied without restriction to any form of (6), and if the remainders b_1, b_2, \dots are small enough with respect to a_1^n, a_2^n, \dots to be neglected, we have the approximate values :

$$\begin{aligned}(a^n \pm b)^{\frac{1}{n}} &= \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} \cdot a_1, \text{ approximately,} \\ &= \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{na_1^{n-1}} \cdot a_2, \text{ approximately,}\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

etc.

In order to prove that these approximate values converged to the true value we give to the factorial (6) another form. Let

$$\frac{b}{a^n} = x; \quad \frac{b_1}{a_1^n} = x_1; \quad \frac{b_2}{a_2^n} = x_2, \text{ etc.} \quad (9)$$

Then we have :

$$(a^n \pm b)^{\frac{1}{n}} = a(1 \pm x)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{na^{n-1}} \cdot a_1(1 - x_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} = a \left[1 \pm \frac{x}{n} \right] (1 - x_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (10_1)$$

$$= a \left[1 \pm \frac{x}{n} \right] \left[1 - \frac{x_1}{n} \right] (1 - x_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (10_2)$$

.

$$= a \left[1 \pm \frac{x}{n} \right] \left[1 - \frac{x_1}{n} \right] \left[1 - \frac{x_2}{n} \right] \dots \left[1 - \frac{x_{m-1}}{n} \right] (1 - x_m)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (10_m)$$

and the scale of relation becomes :

$$x_1 = 1 - \left[1 \pm \frac{x}{n} \right]^{-n} (1 \pm x), \quad (11_1)$$

$$x_2 = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{x_1}{n} \right]^{-n} (1 - x_1), \quad (11_2)$$

.

$$x_m = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{x_{m-1}}{n} \right]^{-n} (1 - x_{m-1}). \quad (11_m)$$

Since even if $x > 1$ we have necessarily $x_1; x_2, \dots, x_m < 1$, we may apply

the binomial series to the second terms, except the first, if $\frac{x}{n} > 1$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} x_m &= 1 - \left[1 + x_{m-1} + \frac{n+1}{2n} x_{m-1}^2 + \frac{n+1}{2n} \cdot \frac{n+2}{3n} x_{m-1}^3 + \dots \right] (1 - x_{m-1}) \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{n+1}{2n} \right] x_{m-1}^2 + \frac{n+1}{2n} \left[1 - \frac{n+2}{3n} \right] x_{m-1}^3 + \dots \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2n} x_{m-1}^2 + \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{3n} x_{m-1}^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

If, therefore, x_{m-1} is a small quantity of the first order then x_m is of the second order, and the smaller x_{m-1} the more nearly we can put

$$x_m = \frac{n-1}{2n} x_{m-1}^2.$$

Since, then, the x diminish continually, therefore (10), and hence, also (8), are *always* convergent.

If n is not an integer, and therefore $\frac{1}{n}$ any proper or improper fraction, these conclusions are not affected and these factorials remain convergent though practically useless since then the scales of relation require extractions of high roots. The forms given are thus restricted in practice to the extraction of high roots, and if $N^{\frac{p}{q}}$ was required we place

$$N^{\frac{1}{q}} = (a^q \pm b)^{\frac{1}{q}} = a(1 \pm x)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and raise the result to the p th power.

In case $b > a^n$ or $x > 1$, the forms are however so slowly convergent that they are impracticable, while the binomial series is absurd.

Additional Note. Instead of deriving (10) from (6) it can be formed independently as follows:

We have $(1+x)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 + \frac{x}{n}$, approximately, using two terms of the binomial series. Assume

$$(1+x)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left[1 + \frac{x}{n} \right] (1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

then

$$x_1 = 1 - \left[1 + \frac{x}{n} \right]^{-n} (1+x), \text{ the same as (11}_1\text{).}$$

In the same manner we can take

$$(1+x)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\} (1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (13)$$

whence the scale of relation

$$x_1 = 1 - \left\{ 1 + \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\}^{-n} (1+x). \quad (14_1)$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1 - \left[1 - \frac{n}{1} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\} + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{2} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{2} \cdot \frac{n+2}{3} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\}^3 + \dots \right] (1+x) \\ &= \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n^3} + \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3n^3} - \frac{n(n+1)}{1 \cdot 2n^2} \right] x^3 + \dots \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \frac{x^3}{n^2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

thus if x is small of the first order, x_1 is of the third, and the convergence of the factorial

$$(1+x)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{x}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{x_1}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x_1^2}{n^2} \right\} \dots \left\{ 1 - \frac{x_{m-1}}{n} - \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{x_{m-1}^2}{n^2} \right\} (1-x_m)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

is cubic.

By taking four terms of the binomial series for first factor we can form a factorial which has a quartic convergence and so on. However, since the scales of relation thus become more complicated, it is not apparent that there is any advantage in increasing the convergence in this manner. Moreover, if $x > 1$, then the first step becomes less advantageous the more terms are taken in the first factor.